United States District Court

WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

IMOTHY THOMAS SIMS	Case Number:	1:11-CR-37	
--------------------	--------------	------------	--

IIIVIC	JIF	11 THOMAS SIMS		
require	In ac	ccordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C.§314 detention of the defendant pending trial in this ca	2(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following facts se.	
-		Part I -	Findings of Fact	
	(1) The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. §3142(f)(1) and has a offense) (state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if a circumstance givin existed) that is		cribed in 18 U.S.C. §3142(f)(1) and has been convicted of a (federal	
		a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C.	§3156(a)(4).	
		an offense for which the maximum senten	•	
		an offense for which the maximum term o	of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in	
		a felony that was committed after the defendus. S.C. §3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable st	dant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 ate or local offenses.	
	(2)	The offense described in finding (1) was committed offense.	d while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local	
	(3)		five years has elapsed since the (date of conviction) (release of the defendant from imprisonment) for finding (1).	
	(4)	Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable assure the safety of (an)other person(s) and the presumption.	e presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably he community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this	
		Alterna	te Findings (A)	
Ш ((1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defer		
		for which a maximum term of imprisonme under 18 U.S.C.§924(c).	ent of ten years or more is prescribed in	
	2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption	established by finding 1 that no condition or combination of conditions will dant as required and the safety of the community.	
_		Alterna	te Findings (B)	
	1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.		
X	2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will en	danger the safety of another person or the community.	
		engaging in sexually explicit conduct. There has	ne sexual exploitation of a child and possessing pictures of a minor been a recent state charge against him for similar incidents not charged card; \$150,000 property settlement; \$30,000 in child support; and 11 alling in excess of \$20,000.)	
		Defendant has been a mild user of marijuana, bu	It has used cocaine 3 to 4 times per week (continued on attachment)	
		Part II - Written Statem	nent of Reasons for Detention	
that th	ne c	redible testimony and information submitted a	at the hearing establishes by clear and convincing evidence that	
		or combination of conditions will assure the s far as young girls are concerned, based up	safety of the community from further predatory behavior by the on the unrebutted presumption.	
		Dead HI Dimed	D	
The acility seefendan ron requal tates m	defe epara it sha juest arsh		ons Regarding Detention y General or his designated representative for confinement in a correction aiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United State charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United on with a court proceeding.	
Dated:	Fe	bruary 18, 2011	/s/ Hugh W. Brenneman, Jr.	
_ 4.04.	_		Signature of Judicial Officer	
			Hugh W. Brenneman, United States Magistrate Judge	
			Name and Title of Judicial Officer	

United States v. TIMOTHY THOMAS SIMS 1:11-CR-37 ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL Page 2.

Alternate Findings (B) - (continued)

during the last quarter of 2010. He has a short criminal history. The testimony at the hearing indicated that defendant's interest in child pornography is not a one-time thing, but is rather pervasive. The charges in both the federal and state court arise out of relationships defendant has had with two different mothers; one had a 7-year old daughter and the other had two two young teen-age daughters. There is some suggestion that the mothers may have made their daughters available to the defendant, at least for the purpose of viewing them naked. Defendant had several videos on his I-Phone which appear to have been taken through a window showing a naked teenage girl coming out of a shower. The videos are on the defendant's I-Phone, but he apparently told an investigator that the mother took the pictures. Defendant's email chats with the mother of the 7-year-old showed his eagerness to see pictures of the naked girl, and he apparently asked the mother if it was okay to perform certain sexual acts with this little girl. There is also reason to believe that the little girl's story, told to her father and to social workers about the defendant and her mother having a sexual relationship where she was on the couch with them, is more credible than the statements of the defendant and the mother that they were performing a sexual act but were not sure if the girl was there or not.

The presumption in this case has not been rebutted.

Part II - Written Statement of Reasons for Detention - (continued)